

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FI         | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,788                | 03/26/2004 |            | Shuichi Hirukawa        | 204552032700        | 7156             |
|                           | 7590       | 06/07/2006 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| Barry E. Bre              | etschneid  | ler        | VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS     |                     |                  |
| Morrison & F<br>Suite 300 | oerster L  | LP         | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| 1650 Tysons               | Boulevar   | d          | 2828                    |                     |                  |
| McLean, VA                | 22102      |            | DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | رور 10/809,788   | HIRUKAWA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner w/  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Tod T. Van Roy   | 2828   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A  | oril 2006.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>/ -</del>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn f  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.  |  | -  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | ır.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | caminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04,1/8/06</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:   | ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/809,788

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claim 10 us withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 04/28/2006.

## Priority :

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirukawa (US 2003/0048825) in view of Uchida (US 5751753).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,788

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 1-3, Hirukawa teaches a semiconductor laser device in which, on a GaAs substrate (fig.2 #101), there are stacked in sequence at least a lower guide layer (fig.2 #104), an InGaAsP guantum well active layer (fig.2 #105) composed of one or a plurality of well layers and a plurality of barrier layers alternately disposed ([0057]) and an upper guide layer (fig.2 #106), wherein the semiconductor laser device has an oscillation wavelength of larger than 760nm and smaller than 800nm (abs.). Hirukawa additionally teaches the active region to be off a III-V type containing Phosphorus (P) and the guide layers to be of a III-V type containing aluminum (Al) ([0057]). Hirukawa does not teach an interface protection layer between the quantum well active layer and at least one of, or both, guide layers. Uchida teaches a semiconductor laser in which the benefits of separating an Al containing layer from a P containing via the use of a thin (figs.7B/8B, about 5 angstroms) GaAs layer (col.3 lines 26-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the Al and P containing layers of Hirukawa with the thin GaAs separating layer of Uchida in order to prevent degradation of crystallinity (Uchida, col.3) lines 26-31).

With respect to claims 4-5, Hirukawa teaches the upper and lower guide layers to be of AlGaAs and the Al mole fraction to be greater than 0.2 ([0057]).

With respect to claims 6-7, Hirukawa teaches the well layer to have compressive strain, and for the value to be not more than 3.5% ([0067]).

With respect to claims 8-9, Hirukawa teaches the barrier layers to have tensile strain, and for the value to be not more than 3.5% ([0069]).

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 11, Hirukawa teaches the laser device to be used in an optical disc unit (fig.7).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TVR** 

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER